



**DISCRIMINATION LAW:
EQUALITY IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR**

2003-2004

Volume II

Professor Denise Réaume

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

Storage

KE
4395
.A7R43
2003
v.2
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

OCT 27 2003

FACULTY OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO


**DISCRIMINATION LAW:
EQUALITY IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR**

2003-2004

Volume II

Professor Denise Réaume

I am grateful to Mark Hart and Geri Sanson for permission to use some of the ideas from their revised version of these materials in use at Osgoode Hall Law School, and to Carlin McGoogan, for his invaluable research assistance.



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

DISCRIMINATION LAW: EQUALITY IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

2003-2004

Table of Contents

VOLUME II

PART II cont'd: THE STRUCTURE OF A DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT

5. REMEDIES: THE INDIVIDUAL COMPLAINT MODEL

<i>Hawkes v. Brown's Ornamental Iron Works</i>	331
<i>Torres v. Royalty Kitchenware Ltd.</i>	331
<i>Tarnopolsky and Pentney, Discrimination and the Law</i>	345
<i>Cameron v. Nel-Gor Castle Nursing Home</i>	349
<i>Whitehead v. Servodyne Canada Ltd.</i>	355
<i>Piazza v. Airport Taxicab</i>	357
<i>Cashin v. C.B.C. (No.2)</i>	358
<i>Canada (Attorney General) v. Morgan</i>	364
<i>Liquor Control Board (Ont.) v. Karumanchiri</i>	372

PART III: ADVANCED ISSUES IN HUMAN RIGHTS LITIGATION

6. "BECAUSE OF...": TYING DISCRIMINATORY CONSEQUENCES TO A PROHIBITED GROUND

I. Drawing the Factual Link

A. The Direct Discrimination Context

<i>Shaw v. Levac</i>	378
<i>Broadfield v. deHavilland/Boeing</i>	386
<i>Ahluwalia v. Metro Toronto Board of Commissions of Police</i>	393
<i>Gaba v. Lincoln County Humane Society and Frank Hampson</i>	403
<i>Abdolalipour and Murad v. Allied Chemical Canada Ltd.</i>	405
<i>Espinoza v. Coldmatic Refrigeration of Canada Inc.</i>	411
<i>Friday v. Westfair Foods Ltd</i>	421
<i>Moffatt v. Kinark Child and Family Services (No. 4)</i>	427

B. The Indirect Discrimination Context

<i>Canada (Canadian H. R. Com'n v. Canada (C.H.R.T) (Re Canada (Employment and Immigration Commission))</i>	441
<i>Ontario Nurses Association v. Orillia Soldiers Memorial Hospital et al</i>	446
Note: <i>Ouimette v. Lily Cups</i>	458
<i>Bhadauria v. Toronto (City) Bd. of Education</i>	459
<i>Wong v. Ottawa Board of Education (No. 3)</i>	477

II. Drawing the Conceptual Link

<i>Janzen v. Platy Enterprises</i>	487+
--	------